EMERALD HIGH 150 Bypass 225 Greenwood, SC 29646 9-12 High School GRADES 944 Students ENROLLMENT Felicia N. Cartledge 941-941-5730 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT William P. Steed, Ed.D. 864-941-5400 Ms. Dru James 864-223-1878 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 16 16 2 1 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 12 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Excellent	N/A
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Excellent	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I		ih Schools v dents Like (
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	74.6	N/A	N/A	76.8	N/A	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	11.9	N/A	N/A	12.4	N/A	N/A
Passed no subtests	13.5	N/A	N/A	10.8	N/A	N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	96.9%	94.9%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP									
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours							
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	19.2	15.2							
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	19.7	15.5							
Seniors who met the grade point average	46.1	49.7							

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	207	232		
Number of Diplomas	171	178		
Rate	82.6%	76.7%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Gra	Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	161	96.9	193	19.2	207	82.6	NO	
Gender								
Male	80	97.5	98	24.5	106	80.2	N/A	
Female	81	96.3	95	13.7	101	85.1	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	100	100.0	104	34.6	103	92.2	N/A	
African-American	56	91.1	84	0.0	98	72.4		
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	2	I/S	2	I/S	N/A	
Hispanic	4	I/S	3	I/S	3	I/S	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	143	97.2	167	22.2	178	84.8	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	18	94.4	26	0.0	29	69.0	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	161	96.9	193	19.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	161	96.9	193	19.2	207	82.6	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	40	90.0	68	0.0	76	72.4	N/A	
Full-pay meals	121	99.2	125	29.6	131	88.5	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE			-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	- / -	-,-	-
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advance_	Performance Objective	Participation O.
			/ mog	Ba A	J	- Jay		B / J # #	
	156.56	/ %	/ %	/ %	/ %	/ %	1 g 1/2 / 2/2	[] a a a	[a]
	179	/			/	/	/ « ₹	L^{-}	<u> </u>
	sh/Langua								\/5
All Students	206	94.7	17.1	26.2	33.7	23.0	64.2	YES	YES
Gender	447	040	00.0	00.4	010	40.0	50.4	N// A	N1/
Male .	117	94.9	23.6	26.4	34.0	16.0	59.4	N/A	N/A
Female	89	94.4	8.6	25.9	33.3	32.1	70.4	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	440	00.5	0.0	40.5	44.7	05.0	05.4	VE0	\/E
White	113	96.5	3.9	16.5	44.7	35.0	85.4	YES	YES
African-American	86	94.2	32.9	39.2	21.5	6.3	38.0	YES	NC
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	U	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Disability Status	470	05.0	0.0	07.0	20.0	05.0	70.0	NI/A	NI//
Not Disabled	172	95.9	8.9	27.8	38.0	25.3	70.9	N/A	N/A
Disabled	34	88.2	62.1	17.2	10.3	10.3	27.6	I/S	1/8
Migrant Status	1 0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI//
Migrant	206	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	206	94.7	17.1	26.2	33.7	23.0	64.2	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	2	l uo	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	L/C	I/S	L/C
Limited English Proficient	204	I/S 94.6	I/S 16.2	I/S 26.5	I/S 34.1	1/S 23.2	I/S 64.9	N/A	1/S N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status	204	94.0	10.2	20.5	34.1	23.2	04.9	IN/A	IN/F
Subsidized meals	87	93.1	31.6	34.2	25.0	9.2	40.8	YES	NC
Full-pay meals	119	95.8	7.2	20.7	39.6	32.4	80.2	N/A	N/A
	•	•	•				00.2	I IN/A	111/7
	Mathemati							1/20	
All Students	206	94.7	20.9	34.2	27.8	17.1	62.6	YES	YES
Gender									
Male .	117	94.0	23.8	35.2	22.9	18.1	60.0	N/A	N/A
Female	89	95.5	17.1	32.9	34.1	15.9	65.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	440	07.0	0.7	04.7	00.7	07.0	00.7	VE0	VEC
White	113	97.3	6.7	31.7	33.7	27.9	83.7	YES	YES
African-American	86	93.0	38.5	39.7	17.9	3.8	34.6	YES	NC
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Hispanic	6	I/S N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan Disability Status	0	IN/A	N/A	N/A	IN/A	N/A	N/A	1/5	1/3
Not Disabled	172	96.5	13.8	36.5	30.2	19.5	68.6	N/A	N/A
Not Disabled Disabled	34	85.3	60.7	21.4	14.3	3.6	28.6	I/S	1/5
Disabled Migrant Status	34	00.3	00.7	21.4	14.3	3.0	20.0	1/3	1/3
Migrant Status Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
viigrant Non-Migrant	206	94.7	20.9	34.2	27.8	17.1	62.6	N/A N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	200	94.7	20.9	34.2	21.0	17.1	02.0	IN/A	IN//
<u> </u>	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Limited English Proficient	204	94.6	20.0	34.6	28.1	17.3	63.2	N/A	N//
Non-Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status	204	94.0	20.0	34.0	20.1	17.3	03.2	IN/A	IN//
Subsidized meals	87	92.0	37.3	40.0	20.0	2.7	44.0	YES	NO

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Encomplet Library				
Emerald High				2450001
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 944)			Like Ours	3011001
Retention rate	4.0%	Down from 4.9%	9.4%	9.1%
Attendance rate	96.3%	Up from 95.9%	95.7%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.0%	Down from 9.2%	8.3%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	15.0%	Up from 11.8%	12.2%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or	9.9% 2.0%	Down from 13.8% Down from 4.0%	9.4% 2.8%	9.8% 1.6%
expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses				
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	15.9%	Down from 18.1%	11.7%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	64.2%		48.4%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	1.9%	Up from 1.1%	3.7%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	2.9%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	475	Up from 420	502	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	32.6%	Up from 15.6%	31.5%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	79.4%	Up from 77.1%	78.9%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	No change	97.6%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 51)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	37.3%	Down from 40.0%	54.8%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	88.2%	Up from 81.8%	84.4%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers**	81.6%	N/A	88.6%	89.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	6.3%		6.4%	8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	90.3%	Down from 90.6%	87.5%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	95.1%	Up from 94.1%	95.6%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$40,219 13.0 days	Up 4.7% Down from 13.1 days	\$42,048 9.8 days	\$41,060 10.6 days
School	15.0 days	Down from 15.1 days	9.0 days	10.0 days
Principal's years at school	10.0	Up from 9.0	4.3	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	25.2 to 1	Up from 22.2 to 1	27.7 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.2%	Up from 89.1%	90.2%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,507	Up 3.3%	\$6,181	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	60.3%	Up from 59.6%	57.6%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	82.0%	Down from 98.3%	89.7%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Good	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		92.3%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	N/A		.1%
Problem 200 discolution in the Problem 2		State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%	Y	es

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

Yes

Student attendance in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

"Creating Winners By Working Together" was the theme for the 2003-2004 school year at Emerald High School. Our continued success is directly related to our partnership with parents, alumni, higher education, and businesses within our community.

The faculty and staff, along with students, parents and community, have common goals of improving academic achievement, reducing the dropout rate and maintaining a safe, positive learning environment. Our accomplishments for the year include the following:

STAFF DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES:

- * Literacy Workshops
- * School-wide Classroom Management/Routines (Attendance, Tardies, Make-up work, Parent Communication-Emails/Website, Student Attire, Homework Center)
- * Cooperative Discipline
- * PowerPoint for Classroom Instruction
- * Professional Development School
- * Lander University Professors and EHS Faculty, "Achieving Content Area Success"
- * LU Professors and EHS Faculty, "Enabling Successful Transition from High School to the University Setting"
- * "Closing the Achievement Gap"
- * "Understanding Poverty"

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT SCHOOL:

- * Teacher Research Grants \$2800;
- * Collaborative supervision of clinical and student teacher candidates;
- * Purchase of materials and resources, \$500;
- * Personnel in-kind contributions (LU Professors);
- * Placement of 60+ clinical students with EHS teachers;
- * Collaboration in writing and submitting articles for publication;
- * Methods courses taught collaboratively on PDS site with LU and EHS faculty
- * Teacher presentations at National Conferences:
- * Biloxi, MS "Dispositions" Teacher Education Division Council for Exceptional Children (CEC)
 - * Greenville, SC "Reading with Special Needs Students," South Carolina/CEC
 - * New Orleans, LA "Interdisciplinary Units in Special Education," National/CEC

SCHOLARSHIPS, AWARDS, HONORS:

- * Five students received Academic Honor Award
- * Three teachers received National Board Certification (Bringing the total to six)
- * Thirty-five Honor Graduates
- * Forty-five LIFE Scholarships
- * Forty-two HOPE Scholarships
- * Eleven Palmetto Fellows Scholarships
- * Class of 2004 scholarship offers totaled \$1,977,620.00.

"TEACHING VALUES, SHAPING LIVES"

Charles L. Graves

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	53	140	70				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	92.5%	77.1%	88.6%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.2%	80.4%	74.3%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	72.0%	85.6%	72.1%				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11 only	the highest grade	was included				